

Ukrainian National Platform SELF-ASSESSMENT REPORT

Name of National Platform involved in the self-assessment:

Ukrainian National Platform of the Eastern Partnership Civil Society Forum

Name of the NP Code of Ethical Conduct advisor

Maksym Koriavets

Period of final self-assessment completion:

27/11/2025 – 10/12/2025

Link to the self-assessment form filled in by NP membership: <https://forms.gle/7dWiqyK5UzZfdY2GA>

SELF-ASSESSMENT PART 1: GENERAL ASSESSMENT OF THE CODE OF ETHICAL CONDUCT AWARENESS LEVELS

	Question	Survey options		Comments by the CoEC Advisor
1.1	Have you consulted the CoEC during the last 1 year?	Yes: 1	No: 18	94.7% of UNP respondents did not consult the Code of Ethical Conduct during 2025.
1.2	If you answered „yes“, please explain „why“.	One person referred to the Code of ethical conduct while reviewing the SELF-ASSESSMENT REPORT of the Ukrainian National Platform for 2024.		
1.3	Are you aware of the existence of the Code of Ethical Conduct Advisor(s) within your NP?	Yes: 12	No: 7	63.2% of respondents are informed about the existence of the UNP Code of Ethical Conduct advisor. 36.8% of respondents are still not informed about the existence of the advisor. It is recommended to continue engaging more intensively available UNP communicational tools for increasing the number of UNP CoEC users / readers. Public and educational measures for increasing the awareness of UNP members on the CoEC are also eligible and relevant.

	Question	Survey options				Comments by the CoEC Advisor
1.4	If you answered „yes“ at the previous question, how would you assess your level of understanding of the responsibilities of the CoEC advisor?	Poor: 1	Fair: 3	Good: 8	Very good: 0	At the same time, the level of understanding the UNP CoEC advisor responsibilities among knowledgeable respondents can be mostly assessed as good (66.6% of positive answers).
1.5	Throughout the past year have you been in a situation that required the involvement of the CoEC advisor?	Yes: 0		No: 19		None of the respondents found themselves in a situation that required the involvement of the UNP CoEC advisor during 2025.
1.6	Do you believe that discussing ethical matters at the NP level is important?	Yes: 19		No: 0		100% of respondents believe that discussing ethical matters at the NP level is important and necessary.
1.7	How would you assess the importance of the CoEC self-assessment exercise?	Not important: 0	Somehow important: 13	Important: 6	Very important: 0	During 2025, respondents moderately assess the importance of CoEC self-assessment exercise for UNP. 68.4% of respondents consider it important to some extent. 31.6% of respondents highly assess the importance of conducting a self-assessment.

SELF-ASSESSMENT PART 2: FUNDAMENTAL VALUES AND PRINCIPLES

Level of compliance scores:

- **Score 1:** Unsatisfactory level of compliance. The NP does not demonstrate the basic requirements of the CoEC standard. Needs serious improvement, if this is feasible in the specific country context.
- **Score 2:** The NP demonstrates a partial level of compliance, but needs improvement.
- **Score 3:** The NP demonstrates full compliance with the CoEC.
- **IIA:** Insufficient information available.

		LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE SCORES						Comments reflecting on the received answers
		1	1.5	2	2.5	3	IIA	
2.1 FUNDAMENTAL VALUES								
2.1.1	The application of human rights (equality, diversity, inclusion). The NP is fully guided by human rights in its internal functioning.	0	0	0	4	12	3	Respondents noted a high level of respect for human rights within the UNP's activities. The average score for this criterion is: 2.88.
2.1.2	Respect for the dignity of individuals. The NP's leadership and members fully respect the dignity of fellow members and external actors.	0	0	1	3	13	2	The level of respect for the dignity of individuals (UNP members) was also marked mostly as high. The average score for this criterion is: 2.85.
2.1.3	Practice of transparency. The NP's leadership and members ensure the free flow of relevant information internally and with other Forum bodies.	0	0	2	3	13	1	The level of compliance in terms of practice of transparency within UNP (free flow of relevant information internally within UNP and with other EaP CSForum bodies and members) was positively evaluated in general.

		LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE SCORES						Comments reflecting on the received answers
		1	1.5	2	2.5	3	IIA	
								The average score for this criterion is: 2.81.
2.1.4	Practice of good governance. The NP fully follows the procedures described in the Forum's Statute and internal NP rules and regulations.	0	0	1	5	10	3	According to responses, UNP and its leadership follows the procedures described in the Forum's and UNP's Statutes and internal UNP's rules and regulations on fair and good level without any negative comments and evidences. The average score for this criterion is: 2.78.
2.1.5	Promotion of diversity and inclusiveness. The NP fully promotes diversity in its membership and activities (i.e. gender, ethnicity, social background, disability and other factors).	0	1	2	1	14	1	In general, UNP members and governing bodies promote the principles of diversity and inclusiveness in the context of membership formation and in their activities (according to the answers of the majority of respondents). The average score for this criterion is: 2.78.
2.1.6	Promotion of gender equality. The NP makes a conscious effort to ensure a balance of women and men in its leadership and programs.	0	0	3	2	13	1	Gender equality is respected by UNP members and governing bodies. Most of respondents indicated "3" scores for the statements that UNP fully promotes principles of gender equality in its membership and activities. The average score for this criterion is: 2.78.
2.1.7	Promotion of democratic ownership and participation. The NP's members own their decision-making processes and activities.	0	0	2	3	14	0	The promotion of democratic ownership and participation also has high level of compliance with codes of ethical conduct (UNP and CSF). It is sensible to implement activities aimed at making UNP members to feel their own on decision-making processes, activities and outcomes within the platform in the future.

		LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE SCORES						Comments reflecting on the received answers
		1	1.5	2	2.5	3	IIA	
								The average score for this criterion is: 2.82.
2.1.8	Promotion of environmental sustainability. The NP makes a conscious effort to promote environmental sustainability in its activities.	0	1	2	3	11	2	The promotion of environmental sustainability is practiced in the UNP in terms of consideration of environmental issues in activities and project proposals. The average score for this criterion is: 2.71.
2.1.9	Opposition to corruption and other illegalities. The NP is vigilant in preventing and detecting all forms of corruption and other improprieties.	0	1	2	2	10	4	The level of opposition to corruption and other illegalities or improprieties within the UNP's functioning was mostly evaluated with "3" scores. The average score for this criterion is: 2.70.
2.2 FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES								
2.2.1	Independence. NP bodies and members make decisions independently from government agencies, religion and ideology.	0	0	1	2	15	1	According to the most of respondents' rates, UNP bodies and members make decisions independently from government agencies, religion and ideology. The average score for this criterion is: 2.89.
2.2.2	Non-profit principles. NP members represent CSOs that are bona fide not-for-profit, independent and voluntary entities.	0	0	2	2	15	0	According to respondents' rates majority of UNP members represent CSOs that are bona fide not-for-profit, independent and voluntary entities. Negative answers were not evidenced. The average score for this criterion is: 2.84.

			LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE SCORES						Comments reflecting on the received answers
			1	1.5	2	2.5	3	IIA	
2.3 ETHICAL CONDUCT WITHIN THE NP									
2.3.1	Respect								
2.3.1.1	NP members behave respectfully towards each other within the NP and with external stakeholders.	0	2	0	10	6	1	In general, UNP members behave respectfully towards each other within the UNP and with external stakeholders. However, the average score for this criterion is one of the lowest within this self-assessment: 2.56. The majority of respondents (10 persons) rated this criterion at "2.5" scores. In this case, it would be advisable to conduct training for UNP members on ethics and communication within the network to improve the situation according to this criterion.	
2.3.1.2	NP as a whole and its members do not make disparaging remarks based on nationality, ethnicity, gender, culture and beliefs.	0	1	2	5	10	1	The grounds of nationality, ethnicity, culture, gender and beliefs are respected within UNP in general, according to marked scores. The relatively low average score for this criterion (2.67) indicates the preventive need for briefings/trainings for UNP members regarding zero tolerance for discriminatory statements.	
2.3.1.3	NP members fully respect diversity of thinking and other differences among members.	0	1	2	6	8	2	Diversity of thinking and differences among fellow members are respected within UNP in general, according to marked scores.	

		LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE SCORES						Comments reflecting on the received answers
		1	1.5	2	2.5	3	IIA	
								The relatively low average score on this criterion (2.62) indicates the preventive need to conduct facilitated discussions with an emphasis on respecting different views among UNP members.
2.3.2	Conflicts of interest. The principle of the avoidance of the conflict of interest by the NP bodies and its members is practised and enforced	1	0	3	4	7	4	The average score for this criterion is the lowest within this self-assessment: 2.53. In this context, the UNP should consider the possibility of formalizing a conflict-of-interest management policy within the platform followed by appropriate training for members and bodies of the UNP. It should be noted that there were no any concrete facts regarding conflicts of interest within the UNP indicated by survey respondents.
2.4 EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES								
2.4.1	Political activity.							
	2.4.1.1 NP members represent CSOs that are not affiliated with a political party or coalition.	0	0	0	6	9	4	At the moment of research there are no concrete evidences of affiliation of certain CSOs with any political party or coalition provided. The average score for this criterion is: 2.80.
	2.4.1.2 None of the NP's individual members are affiliated with any political party/coalition in an official position.	0	0	2	2	3	12	A vast majority of "IIA" answers (12 out of 19 respondents) to the question 2.4.1.2 requires conducting actions to re-verify individual UNP members for belonging to any political

		LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE SCORES						Comments reflecting on the received answers
		1	1.5	2	2.5	3	IIA	
								institutions. For this case the re-verification report should be presented to all UNP members. The average score for this criterion is: 2.57.
2.4.1.3	The NP is explicitly independent of party politics when undertaking its activities	0	0	0	5	7	7	In general, UNP explicitly independent of party politics which is evidenced by survey results. The average score for this criterion is: 2.79.
2.4.2	Financial activities and solicitations.							
2.4.2.1	Fundraising principles. The NP's fundraising practices focus solely on the Forum's/NP's mission, without improper motive, inappropriate conduct or personal benefit.	0	0	1	3	11	4	UNP's fundraising and grantmaking activity was largely recognized as such that meets the codes of ethical conduct of the EaP CSF and UNP. The average scores for the relevant criteria are: 2.83 and 2.75.
2.4.2.2	Grant commitment. The NP fully complies with the approved grant obligations in accordance with ethical and legal standards.	1	0	1	2	12	4	

2.5 REPORTING MISCONDUCT		Circle the appropriate response			
2.5.1	Have you witnessed or been a victim of any form of ethical misconduct during 2025?	No: 19		Yes: 0	
2.5.2	Was the misconduct reported in 2025 (whether to the CSF Compliance Committee, National Platform board, NP compliance committee, CSF Steering Committee or Brussels-based Secretariat?)	No: 19		Yes: 0	
2.5.3	Was the reporting taken seriously?	No: 0	Yes: 0	Partly: 0	IIA: 0
2.5.4	Was the misconduct dealt in a satisfactory manner?	No: 0	Yes: 0	Partly: 0	IIA: 0

Comments on Section “Reporting misconduct”

As part of the self-assessment, no witnesses/victims of any ethical misconduct within the UNP during 2025, as well as no reports of ethical misconduct within the UNP during the relevant period were recorded.

1 respondent noted sufficient level of compliance of the Ukrainian National Platform of the Eastern Partnership Civil Society Forum with the Codes of Ethical Conduct of the EaP CSF and the UNP in 2025.